Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure T (248 words)

Don't be fooled! Measure T isn't a tax on Chevron – it's a job killer that will bankrupt small, locally-owned businesses in Richmond by forcing them to pay higher taxes which they cannot afford.

Measure T raises taxes on nearly every business in Richmond including bakeries, restaurants, and small grocery stores – likely driving these companies out of business or out of town.

Measure T is a tax on all of us – threatening good-paying jobs for Richmond residents. We should do more to keep jobs in our community, not drive them away.

Measure T will increase the cost of everything made in Richmond, including bread, gasoline and other essentials goods and services – unfairly impacting low and middle-income Richmond residents who can afford it the least.

Measure T unfairly taxes local businesses that provide Richmond residents with good-paying jobs, while letting out-of-town corporations who operate in our community pay nothing.

Richmond has worked hard to attract good-paying "Green-collar" jobs, including solar manufactures. Measure T will drive those businesses out of town by making it too expensive to operate in Richmond.

We are facing difficult economic times with many small businesses in Richmond struggling to make ends meet and avoid laying off local workers. Measure T will likely put many of those small, family-owned businesses on the brink of bankruptcy.

Richmond faces serious problems that require creative solutions. But Measure T is the wrong approach to solving the problems we face.

Richmond simply can't afford Measure T. Vote No on Measure T.

SIGNATURE STATEMENT

(Elections Code Section 9600 et al)

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to Division 9 of the Elections Code shall be accompanied by the following statement to be signed by each author of the argument. Names and titles listed will be printed in the Voter's Information Pamphlet in the order provided below and will appear as indicated below.

"The	e undersigned author(s) of the:	8
	Argument in favor of	
	Argument against	
_	-	O1 _
	Rebuttal to the argument in favor of	
— 1	Rebuttal to the argument against	- =
D = II.	ad man annua (in a sud latter)	at the General Election
Balle	ot measure (insert letter)	_ at the <u>General Election</u> — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
		(Type of election: primary, general, special)
Elec	tion for the City of Richmond	
	(Jurisd	liction - name of district)
		,
to be	e held on November 4, 2008	hereby state that such argument
	(Election date)	
ic tri	ie and correct to the heat of	lengual adaptation and halfast "
15 11 (te and correct to the best of their	knowledge and belief." (his/her/their)
		(morrent men)
ARG	SUMENT/REBUTTAL FILED BY (c	check any of the following that apply):
ч	Board of Supervisors or Governi	ng Board
	Contact Person's Signature:	
	Title:	
	Phone:	FAX:
	E-Mail:	
	.	s or Filers of Special District Initiative
	Name of Association:	
	Principal Officer's Printed Name:	
	Title	
	Title:	FAX:
	E-Mail:	174
	Attach Bona Fide Association of	Citizens Data Sheet.
г у л	•	
X	Individual voter who is eligible to	vote on the measure
	Printed Name: Ericaell	^
	Signature of Voter: Www & 0	0
	Signature of Voter: Who to a signature of Voter: 230 Pra	kes Bay Court, Richmond, CA 94801
	Signature of Voter: Www & 0	kes Bay Court, Richmond, CA 94801 FAX: (510) 231-4855

AUTHORIZATION FOR ANOTHER PERSON OR PERSONS TO SIGN REBUTTAL ARGUMENT

l, Eric Zell (Print name of FILER of the argument)	authorize the following person(s) to sign (nt)
the rebuttal to the argument ☐ in favor ☐ against	
Measure 7 for the November 4, 2008 (Letter)	Belection.
(One or more people who signed the argument may be replaced with different people	ent may be replaced with different people to sign the rebuttal)
1. Cristander Summons (Print name of rebuttal signer)	to sign instead of 1/45 1/45 2002
2. MACK QuiLici (Print name of rebuttal signer)	to sign instead of <u>Jerrold HATCher</u> (Print name of argument signer)
3. (Print name of rebuttal signer)	to sign instead of(Print name of argument signer)
4. (Print name of rebuttal signer)	to sign instead of(Print name of argument signer)
5. Print name of rebuttal signer)	to sign instead of(Print name of argument signer)
Signature of Filer:	Date: 8-14-08

97: 7 Hd

S1 9N4 80

CILL OF RICHMOND SILL CLERKS OFFICE RECEIVED

Attach this form to the 2-page "Signature Statement" submitted with the rebuttal argument.

SIGNATURE STATEMENT - PAGE 2

Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure	Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure	Argument Against Measure	Argument in Favor of Measure	CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING & RECORD LETTER OF MEASURE:	
		08 AUG 15 PM 4: 47		CITY OF BIGHMOND	

The signatures of the following persons will be printed **as submitted** below following the argument or rebuttal.

SIGNATURE	PRINT NAME as it will appear in the Voter's Information Pamphlet	PRINT TITLE AND NAME OF ASSOCIATION (IF APPLICABLE) as it will appear in the Voter's Information Pamphlet.	Are you signing on behalf of an association?	DATE
Alma	Rafeel Madriga)	President 23-d St. Merchants Assoc.	Yes	80/44/8
Jun 2	Puet Lavitan	President + CEO	3	20/11/B
A godernion	CASSANDA A SILIHONS	CASSORIAS of BELLOWS,	00	80/11/8
all Sun	Alay Baer	Armor Locksmith Service NO		80/2/1/8
*If the argument or rebuttal is being sign	*If the argument or rebuttal is being signed by a principal officer on behalf of an association that	an association that is supporting or opposing the measure, the name of the	e measure, the name	of the

association will appear on the first line, followed by the principal officer's name and title on the second line as the example illustrates.

Contra Costa County Elections Department

s/ Stephen L. Weir, County Clerk-Recorder

the organization will appear as follows:

John Gray, Chair If the argument or rebuttal is being signed by a person who is associated with a particular organization that is supporting or opposing the measure, the name of

Golden Oaks Homeowners Association

SIGNATURE STATEMENT - PAGE 2

 		-		==7				
			SIGNATURE	The signatures of the follo	Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure	☐ Argument Against Measure	CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLO	
		MARK QUILICI	PRINT NAME as it will appear in the Voter's Information Pamphlet	The signatures of the following persons will be printed as submitted	in Favor of Measure	measure	CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING & RECORD LETTER OF MEASURE:	
		CALIFORNIA CASTING INC	PRINT TITLE AND NAME OF ASSOCIATION (IF APPLICABLE) as it will appear in the Voter's Information Pamphlet.	as submitted below following the argument or rebuttal.			MEASURE:	
		NO	Are you signing on behalf of an association?	argument or rek		08 AUG 15 PM 4: 47	CITY CLERKS OFFICE	RECEIV
		8/15/	DAT	outtal.		14:47	MOND	ED

DATE

*If the argument or rebuttal is being signed by a principal officer on behalf of an association that is supporting or opposing the measure, the name of the association will appear on the first line, followed by the principal officer's name and title on the second line as the example illustrates: **Contra Costa County Elections Department**

If the argument or rebuttal is being signed by a person who is associated with a particular organization that is supporting or opposing the measure, the name of

s/ Stephen L. Weir, County Clerk-Recorder

the organization will appear as follows: John Gray, Chair

Golden Oaks Homeowners Association

Bona Fide Association of Citizens Data Sheet

The fo
<u>ठ</u>
δ
'ng
າg info
<u>o</u>
nat
Š
n is s
ormation is submit
픮
tted
by t
the
the filer(
er(s
3
es
tab
y the filer(s) to establish th
‡
it the org
9
e organization or gro
niz
atio
Š
janization or group
<u>2</u>
S
o)
õ
a Fic
de
Ass
<u>ö</u> .
Bona Fide Association of Ci
ň
э́ С
itizens
Sue
••

The organization meets on a regular basis, meetings are held at \$58 \(\omega \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau \ta	ertify n
The organization meets on a regular basis, meetings are held at $\frac{28 \omega s h}{2 s h}$. Other information that would support the claim that the group or organization is a Bona or oppose the	This document must be filled within 10 days of the date the committee receives \$1,000.00 in contributions.] The organization meets on a regular basis, meetings are held at \$5\cappa \times \ti
tion that would support the claim that the group or organization is a Bona Measure:	It would support the claim that the group or organization is a Bona Measure: What the forgoing is true and correct.
	iry that the forgoing is true and correct.
	I certify under penalty of perjury that the forgoing is true and correct. Principal Officer's Signature

Measure/Bona Fide Assoc data form_7-15-02